

APPLICATION OF EDUCATIONAL NUTRITIONAL PROGRAM AMANG PRIMIPARA ON PREGNANCY OUT COME.

***Prof. Sonia Gamal Elsharkawy; Prof. Hayat Imam Mohamed; Dr. Mervat Gaber
Zaghloul; Nor Elhouda Mohammed Elsayed.***

*Prof and Head of Pediatric Department, Faculty of Medicine- Suez Canal University,
Prof. of Maternal and Newborn Health Nursing, Faculty of Nursing- Cairo University,
Lecturer of Maternity, Obstetric and Gynecological Nursing, Faculty of Nursing - Port
said University.*

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of a educational nutrition program for her fetuse. **Subject and Methods:** The study was carried out El-Tadamon hospital in Port- Said City This is a private hospital providing outpatient and inpatient obstetric and gynecological services in using a quasi-experimental (pre & post test) design The subjects of the study were any primiparous pregnant woman attending the mentioned study setting during the time of the study was eligible for inclusion in the study sample if she fulfills the following eligibility criteria. **Results:** The results revealed that there was a significant improvement in the woman knowledge score about nutrition during pregnancy. **Conclusion:** Educational program has a significant and improvement impact on knowledge regarding nutrition during pregnancy, and this is negatively reflected on their dietary practices and habits

Key words: *Effect of Educational Nutritional program for Pregnancy and birth outcome.*

INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy is one of the most important periods in the life of a woman, a family and a society. Extraordinary attention is therefore given to antenatal care by the health care systems of most countries. The goal of antenatal care is to prevent health problems in both infant and mother and to see that each newborn child has a good start. The care provided needs to be appropriate and not excessive. New technologies need to be implemented continually, while older services need to be reconsidered. The care for each pregnant woman needs to be individualized based on her

own needs and wish (*Gabbe et al., 2007*). A pregnant woman should be helped to understand the importance of good nutrition for herself and for her fetus, she may be more motivated than at other times in her life to improve her dietary habits. She should also be encouraged to continue her new interest in nutrition after the baby arrives (*Macintosh and Mack, 2000*) the importance of a well-balanced diet that contains proteins and other essential nutrients according to individually assessed quantities continues into the second trimester. The last trimester of pregnancy is the period during which the fetus requires a greater amount of key nutrients, as it lays down stores for growth. Although the recommended allowances provide a margin of safety above minimum requirements to allow for variation of need, individuals require more for optimum nutrition (*Anderson, 2010*). Maternal malnutrition prior to and during pregnancy manifested by low bodyweight, short stature, Inadequate energy intake during pregnancy and coexisting micronutrient deficiency are considered major determinants in developing countries where the burden is too high. Low Birth Weight (LBW) is a multi-factorial outcome and its prevention requires a lifecycle approach and interventions must be continued for several generations. So, most interventions are now targeted during pregnancy primarily due to the increased nutritional demand and aggravations of already existing inadequacy in most women (*Alam, 2009*).

AIM OF STUDY:

The aim of this study was to application of educational nutritional program among primipara mothers on pregnancy outcome.

SUBJECT AND METHODS:

This study was carried out using a controlled quasi-experimental research design with pre-post assessment. The study was carried out at El-Tadamon hospital in Port-Said city. **Sample criteria:** Any primiparous pregnant woman attending the mentioned study setting during the time of the study was eligible for inclusion in the study

sample if she fulfills the following eligibility criteria :*Inclusion criteria:* Primiparous; In the first or second trimester of pregnancy ;Age ranging between 25-35 years; *Exclusion criteria:* Medical or obstetric problems during current pregnancy. Family history of hypertension, cardiac disease, etc.

Ethical Considerations

An informed consent form was signed by each participant before collecting any data. The form explained the study aim in a simple and clear manner to be understood by common people. No harmful maneuvers were performed or used, and no foreseen hazards were anticipated from conducting the study. Participants were informed about their right to withdraw from the study at any time without giving any reason. Data were considered confidential and not be used outside this study without approval.

Content Validity:

The researcher designed an opinionnaire sheet to test the content validity of the assessment questionnaire sheet for nurses and mothers by a jury including 10 Experts in the field of obstetric and gynecology from medical and nursing faculty staff. It involved two parts:

A-The opinions of the experts for each item were recorded on a two point scale: relevant, not relevant and clear, not clear.

B- General or overall opinion about the form, they were requested to express their opinions and comments on the tool and provide any suggestions for any additional or omissions of items. Then necessary modifications were done. This phase was carried out in a period of two months.

RESULTS:

Table (1) shows that women in study and control groups had a close mean age (27.7 and 28.0 years respectively). The highest percentages had intermediate education (46.4% and 58.9%, respectively). More than 80% of them were housewives, with crowding index less than two persons per room.

As regards their husbands, the table demonstrates a higher mean age in the study group was (29.9 years) compared to the control group (31.5 years) and this was the only difference of statistical significance between the two groups ($p=0.02$). More than two-thirds of the husbands were employees and more than half of them had intermediate education.

Table 2 demonstrates statistically significant improvements in the post-intervention dietary knowledge of women in the study group in all tested elements ($p<0.001$). In

total, 27.7% of the women had satisfactory knowledge at pre the intervention phase, and this increased to 95.5% at the posttest ($p < 0.001$). No statistically significant differences could be revealed in control group women's knowledge between pre and post intervention tests. In total, 17.9% of the women had satisfactory knowledge at pre the intervention phase, and this slightly increased to 18.8% at the posttest with no statistical significance ($p = 0.86$).

Concerning the newborn outcomes Table 3 points to statistically significant differences in the respiratory rate ($p = 0.007$), and heart rate ($p < 0.001$). As noticed from the table, more newborns in the study group had abnormal respiratory rate, but more newborns in the control group had abnormal heart rates. The mean body temperature in the two groups was similar.

Table 4 compares the newborn anthropometric measurements in the study and control groups. It indicates statistically significant differences in the body weight and head circumference ($P < 0.001$). It is clear that the newborns in the study group had higher mean body weight and head circumference. Meanwhile, the length and chest circumferences did not show statistically significant differences.

Table 5 shows that a statistically significantly higher percentage of newborns in the control group had their Apgar score < 7 ($p < 0.001$). They also had a higher rate of NICU admission ($p < 0.001$).

Table 6 presents the relations between the intake of balanced diet after intervention among women in the study group and their personal characteristics. As the table indicates, no statistically significant associations could be revealed with any of the women's characteristics.

Table (1): Socio-demographic characteristics of women in the study and control groups

	Group				X ² Test	p-value
	Study (n=112)		Control (n=112)			
	No.	%	No.	%		
Age (years):						
<25	30	26.8	23	20.5	1.24	0.54
25-	45	40.2	50	44.6		
30-35	37	33.0	39	34.8		
Range	19.0-35.0		19.0-35.0			
Mean±SD	27.7±4.2		28.0±4.2			
Education:					5.09	0.40
Illiterate	4	3.6	3	2.7		
Read/write	6	5.4	3	2.7		
Basic	11	9.8	6	5.4		
Intermediate	52	46.4	66	58.9		
University	26	23.2	20	17.9		
Higher	13	11.6	14	12.5		
Job:					0.78	0.38
Housewife	22	19.6	17	15.2		
Working	90	80.4	95	84.8		
Crowding index:					0.51	0.48
<2	91	81.3	95	84.8		
2+	21	18.8	17	15.2		
Husband age (years):					8.01	0.02*
<30	57	50.9	37	33.0		
30-	29	25.9	34	30.4		
35+	26	23.2	41	36.6		
Range	20.0-43.0		22.0-50.0			
Mean±SD	29.9±4.8		31.5±4.6			
Husband job:					0.19	0.67
Employee	78	69.6	75	67.0		
Worker	34	30.4	37	33.0		
Husband education:					2.31	0.81
Illiterate	0	0.0	1	0.9		
Read/write	6	5.4	4	3.6		
Basic	11	9.8	8	7.1		
Intermediate	61	54.5	60	53.6		
University	27	24.1	32	28.6		
Higher	7	6.3	7	6.3		

(*) Statistically significant at $p < 0.05$

Table (2): Pre-post-intervention dietary knowledge among women in the study and control groups

Satisfactory knowledge (50%+):	Time				X ² Test	p-value
	Pre		Post			
	No.	%	No.	%		
Study:						
Balanced diet	68	60.7	110	98.2	48.26	<0.001*
Proteins	43	38.4	110	98.2	92.57	<0.001*
Iron	41	36.6	110	98.2	96.75	<0.001*
Vitamins	35	31.3	108	96.4	103.06	<0.001*
Other minerals	36	32.1	103	92.0	85.11	<0.001*
Fats	40	35.7	107	95.5	88.84	<0.001*
Fluids	46	41.1	108	96.4	79.88	<0.001*
Total knowledge:						
Satisfactory (50%+)	31	27.7	107	95.5		
Unsatisfactory (<50%)	81	72.3	5	4.5	109.02	<0.001*
Control:						
Balanced diet	81	72.3	84	75.0	0.21	0.65
Proteins	63	56.3	63	56.3	0.00	1.00
Iron	49	43.8	54	48.2	0.45	0.50
Vitamins	21	18.8	21	18.8	0.00	1.00
Other minerals	11	9.8	11	9.8	0.00	1.00
Fats	20	17.9	23	20.5	0.26	0.61
Fluids	38	33.9	41	36.6	0.18	0.67
Total knowledge:						
Satisfactory (50%+)	20	17.9	21	18.8		
Unsatisfactory (<50%)	92	82.1	91	81.3	0.03	0.86

(*) Statistically significant at $p < 0.05$

Table (3): Newborn vital signs in the study and control groups at birth

	Group				X ² Test	p-value
	Study (n=112)		Control (n=112)			
	No.	%	No.	%		
Respiratory rate:						
Normal	95	84.8	107	95.5	7.26	0.007*
Abnormal	17	15.2	5	4.5		
Range	20-55		40-60			
Mean±SD	46.9±5.5		47.0±4.3			
Heart rate (BPM):						
<120	2	1.8	0	0.0	68.21	<0.001*
120-	105	93.8	51	45.5		
160+	5	4.5	61	54.5		
Range	110-170		120-180			
Mean±SD	130.4±10.4		152.2±15.3			
Body temperature:						
<37	55	49.1	58	51.8	--	--
37-	56	50.0	50	44.6		
37.5+	1	0.9	4	3.6		
Range	36.0-38.0		36.0-39.0			
Mean±SD	36.5±0.5		36.5±0.6			

(*) Statistically significant at $p < 0.05$

(--) Test result not valid

Table (4): Newborn anthropometric measurements in the study and control groups at birth

	Group				Mann Whitney Test	p-value
	Study (n=112)		Control (n=112)			
	No.	%	No.	%		
Body weight (gm):						
<2500	8	7.1	3	2.7		
2500-	8	7.1	33	29.5		
3000+	96	85.7	76	67.9		
Range	2200-4500		2250-4500			
Mean±SD	3571.4±562.2		3185.7±507.2		26.47	<0.001*
Length (cm):						
<50	68	60.7	73	65.2		
50+	44	39.3	39	34.8		
Range	42.0-51.0		38.0-55.0			
Mean±SD	48.6±1.5		48.3±2.0		3.06	0.08
Head circumference (cm):						
<35	94	83.9	94	83.9		
35+	18	16.1	18	16.1		
Range	31.0-35.0		30.0-46.0			
Mean±SD	33.6±1.2		33.3±1.9		11.88	<0.001*
Chest circumference (cm):						
<35	112	100.0	110	98.2		
35+	0	0.0	2	1.8		
Range	30.0-34.0		30.0-45.0		Fisher	0.50
Mean±SD	31.8±1.0		31.8±1.9			

(*) Statistically significant at $p < 0.0$

Table (5): Newborn Apgar scores and NICU admission in the study and control groups

	Group				X ² Test	p-value
	Study (n=112)		Control (n=112)			
	No.	%	No.	%		
Apgar score:						
<7	31	27.7	82	73.2		
7+	81	2.3	30	26.8	46.45	<0.001*
Range	5-9		4-9			
Mean±SD	7.1±1.1		6.0±1.4			
Infant NICU admission:						
Yes	35	31.3	77	68.8		
No	77	68.8	35	31.3	31.50	<0.001*

Table (6): Relation between post-intervention intake of balanced diet among women in the study group and their personal characteristics

	Take balanced diet				X ² Test	p-value
	No		Yes			
	No.	%	No.	%		
Age (years):						
<25	24	80.0	6	20.0	0.53	0.77
25-	38	84.4	7	15.6		
30-35	29	78.4	8	21.6		
Education:						
Illiterate/read	9	90.0	1	10.0	2.06	0.36
Basic/intermediate	53	84.1	10	15.9		
University	29	74.4	10	25.6		
Job:						
Housewife	19	86.4	3	13.6	Fisher	0.76
Working	72	80.0	18	20.0		
Crowding index:						
<2	74	81.3	17	18.7	Fisher	1.00
2+	17	81.0	4	19.0		
Husband age (years):						
<30	47	82.5	10	17.5	--	--
30-	25	86.2	4	13.8		
35+	19	73.1	7	26.9		
Husband job:						
Employee	62	79.5	16	20.5	0.52	0.47
Worker	29	85.3	5	14.7		
Husband education:						
Illiterate/read	6	100.0	0	0.0	1.92	0.38
Basic/intermediate	59	81.9	13	18.1		
University	26	76.5	8	23.5		
Income:						
Insufficient	63	84.0	12	16.0	1.13	0.29
Sufficient	28	75.7	9	24.3		
In charge to buy food:						
Woman herself	27	77.1	8	22.9	0.56	0.45
Other person	64	83.1	13	16.9		
In charge to prepare food:						
Woman herself	72	80.9	17	19.1	Fisher	1.00
Other person	19	82.6	4	17.4		
Total knowledge:						
Satisfactory (50%+)	87	81.3	20	18.7	Fisher	1.00
Unsatisfactory (<50%)	4	80.0	1	20.0		

DISCUSSION:

Pregnancy is a powerful and a complex time in a woman's life. She may be happily looking forward to the birth of a long-awaited first child. She may be wondering how to make adjustments for another of many children. She may be waiting fearfully through the period of pregnancy (*Simon et al., 2006; Towel, 2009*).

The only relevant difference in socio-demographic characteristics that was of statistical significance between women in the two groups was regarding their income. It was found that more women in the control group had sufficient income compared with the study group. This would have an influence on the availability to quality food in adequate amounts (*Morland et al., 2002*).

The present study showed increased trends of intake of balanced diet after intervention in the study group with women's educational level, working status, husband education, higher income, and being herself in charge of purchasing and preparing food. However, these trends lacked statistical significance because of the small numbers of women taking balanced diet, thus decreasing the statistical efficiency. Nevertheless, these trends are in agreement with previous studies that demonstrated the effect of socio-economic level (*Groth et al., 2001; Dynesen et al., 2003; Mishra et al., 2005*), higher educational levels (*Freisling et al., 2006; Northstone et al., 2008*).

As regards newborn outcomes, the present study revealed some significant differences between the study and control groups. Thus, the newborns in the study group had significantly better Apgar scores and lower rate of NICU admission. These positive fetal outcomes may be attributed to the better maternal nutritional status in this group, which is reflected on newborn's wellbeing. In congruence with this, *Huh et al. (2005)* showed that the dietary protein composition during pregnancy affects offspring vital signs as blood pressure.

Moreover, the newborns of women in the study group had significantly better anthropometric measurements as body weight and head circumference. These findings add to the evidence that the nutritional education program had a positive impact on maternal nutritional status, with subsequent positive effect on their newborns. In

congruence with this, *Yegammai et al (2002)* studied the effect of nutrition education of mothers on nutritional status of infants at Coimbatore, India. It was observed that the infants born to the mothers with nutrition education recorded significantly higher birth weights than the infants born to the mothers without nutrition education. Similarly, *Paul and Vijaylakshmi (2002)* studied the effect of improving the maternal nutritional status on the birth weight of the newborn. The results revealed that mean birth weight of the neonates born to the mothers in control group was lower than those of the intervention groups.

Furthermore, a systematic review demonstrated that food-support programs may have a favorable effect on mean birth weight in high-risk sub-groups such as heavy smokers and very young mothers. However, none of the studies measured the effectiveness of the programs on mean birth weight in later pregnancies (*D'Souza et al., 2006*). Meanwhile, a study in India confirmed the positive relation between maternal nutritional status and birth weight of infants (*Mridula et al., 2001*). Also, *Parvathi and Begum (2007)* found that significantly more pregnant women with anemia gave birth to infants with very low birth weight and low birth babies.

On the same line, *Yegammai and Anuradha (2008)* at Coimbatore, India observed that as maternal weight gain increased the weight of newborn was also increased. Also, *Bang and Sunlee (2009)* in Korea revealed a positive correlation between pregnant women's weight and their infants' birth weight. However, their study demonstrated that the maternal nutrient intake had greater influence on birth weight of infants than the maternal body weight. A similar relation between gestational weight gain and birth weight of infants was reported in a study in Belgium (*Guelinckx et al., 2010*).

In agreement with the present study finding regarding better head circumference values in the study group, *Yegammai et al (2002)* and *Bhavana et al. (2004)* demonstrated a positive association between maternal nutritional status and neonatal head circumference. This was also confirmed in a systematic review which concluded that it can be confidently said that programs can have a beneficial effect on newborn head circumference (*D'Souza et al., 2006*).

CONCLUSION:

Based on study findings, it can be concluded that:

Educational program has a significant and improvement impact on the at pregnant women's knowledge and practice related to nutritional care based on scientific background.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Training programs are recommended for nurses in order to enhance their knowledge and skills regarding nutrition during pregnancy in order to be able to educate and counsel pregnant women.
- More research is needed to quantitatively measure the dietary components and elements based on food tables to assess the impact of such educational programs on the levels of various micronutrients during pregnancy, and their relations to maternal and fetal outcomes.
- Simple illustrative booklets and pamphlets in Arabic language should be prepared and made available in antenatal care units addressing practical issues related to the nutritional needs during pregnancy.

REFERENCES

Alam D.S. (2009): Prevention of Low Birth Weight From research to practice. Department of international center for diarrhoeal disease research, Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh, Estle Nutr Work Shoposer Pediatr Program; 36: 209–21. discussion 221 – 5, 259 – 68.

Anderson C. (2010): The importance of nutrition in pregnancy lifelong health – Agricultural research service. Accessed on May 22 2013 at www.drivedfromers.usda-gove/new/docshtm?docid.20977.

Bang S.W., and Sunlee S. (2009): The factors affecting Pregnancy outcomes in the Second trimester pregnant women. Nutr. Res. Pract.; 3(2): 134-140.

Bhavana K., Kiran G., Neera A., and Kamlesh S. (2004): Effect of Maternal Nutritional Supplementation on fetal Growth Parameters and Doppler flow velocity in growth Restricted fetuses. The Indian J. Nutr. Dietet.; 41:198-204..

D'Souza L., Renfrew M., McCormick F., Dyson L., Wright K., Henderson J., and Thomas J. (2006): Food-support programs for low-income and socially

disadvantaged childbearing women in developed countries: Systematic review of the evidence. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence.

Dynesen A.W., Haraldsdottir J., Holm L., and Astrup A. (2003): Socio-demographic differences in dietary habits described by food frequency questions - results from Denmark. *Eur J Clin Nutr.*; 57:1586–1597.

Freisling H., Elmadfa I., and Gall I. (2006): The effect of socioeconomic status on dietary intake, physical activity and body mass index in Austrian pregnant women. *J Hum Nutr Diet.*; 19:437–445.

Gabbe S.G., Niebyle J.R., Simpons J.L., (2007): Obstetrics normal and problem pregnancies, 5th ed., China: churchill living stone Elsevier, pp.1051-1053.

Groth M.V., Fagt S., and Brondsted L. (2001): Social determinants of dietary habits in Denmark. *Eur J Clin Nutr.*; 55:959–966.

Guelinckx I., Devlieger R., Mullie P., and Vansant G. (2010): Effect of lifestyle intervention on dietary habits, Physical activity, and gestational weight gain in obese pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial. *American J. Clin. Nutr.*; 91: 373-380.

Huh S.Y., Rifas-Shiman S.L., Kleinman K.P., Rich-Edwards J.W., Lipshultz S.E., and Gillman M.W. (2005): Maternal protein intake is not associated with infant blood pressure. [Int J Epidemiol. ; 34\(2\): 378–384.](#)

Macintosh J., and Mack D. (2000): An integrative review illuminates curricular applications of primary health care. *Journal of nursing education*; 5(80): 116 – 122 .

Mishra G., Ball K., Patterson A., Brown W., Hodge A., Dobson A. (2005): Socio-demographic inequalities in the diets of mid-aged Australian women. *Eur J Clin Nutr.*; 59:185–195.

Morland K., Wing S., Roux A.D., and Poole C. (2002): Neighborhood characteristics associated with the location of food stores and food service places. *Am J Prev Med.*; 22:23–29.

Mridula D., Mishra C. P., and Chakraverty A. (2002): Effect of Mother's Dietary intake on Birth Weight of Newborn. *The Indian J. Nutr. Dietet.*; 39: 327-333.

Northstone K., Emmett P., and Rogers I. (2008): Dietary patterns in pregnancy and associations with socio-demographic and lifestyle factors. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition.*; 62:471–479.

Parvathi M., and Begaum K. (2007): Dietary intakes, Anthropometric measurements and Pregnancy outcome among women from low income families. *The Indian J. Nutr. Dietet.*; 44: 484-491.

Paul M., and Vijaylakshmi P. (2002): Effect of Improving the Maternal Nutritional Status with Reference to Zinc, Vitamin A and Iron on the Birth weight of the Newborn. *The Indian J. Nutr. Dietet.*; 39: 95-104.

Simon C., Everitt H., And Kendrick T. (2006): Routine care during pregnancy, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press.

Towel M. (2009): Maternal newborn nursing care. New Jersey: Pearson prentice Hall; pp. 215 – 220

Villar J, Farnot U., Barros F. (2000): A randomized trial of psychosocial support during high-risk pregnancies. *The Latin American Network for Perinatal and Reproductive Research. N Engl J Med*; 327:1266..

Yegammi C., and Anuradha P. (2008): Pregnancy Outcomes of women's from different income levels. *The Indian J. Nutr. Dietet.*; 45: 357-365.

Yin J., Dwyer T., Riley M., Cochrane J., and Jones G. (2010): The association between maternal diet during pregnancy and bone mass of the children at age 16. *Eur J Clin Nutr*;64:131–7.

تطبيق برنامج تعليمي غذائي للسيدات الحوامل للمرة الأولى علي الحمل والولادة

أ.د / سونية جمال الشرقاوى – أ.د/ حياة إمام محمد- د/ ميرفت جابر زغلول-

م.م / نور الهدى محمد السيد الشابورى

أستاذ ورئيس قسم طب الاطفال - كلية الطب - جامعة قناة السويس - أستاذ ترميض صحة الأم وحديثي الولادة
كلية التمريض - جامعة القاهرة - مدرس ، مدرس مساعد ترميض الأمومة و النساء والتوليد - كلية التمريض-
جامعة بورسعيد

الخلاصة

الدراسة الحالية دراسة شبة تجريبية هدف الدراسة هو تقييم تأثير برنامج تعليمي عن التغذية أثناء الحمل على نتائج الحمل لدى الأمهات البكرات. وشملت عينة الدراسة على مجموعتين من 112 امرأة حامل بكرية فى الثالث الاول والثانى من الحمل بمستشفى التضامن ، وقد تم تجميع البيانات عن طريق استخدام استمارة استبيان اشتملت على بيانات عن الخصائص الاجتماعية والديموغرافية بالإضافة إلى تقييم المعرفة والعادات الغذائية استمارة فحص، قائمة ملاحظة نتائج الأم. صممت الباحثة برنامجا تعليميا يهدف إلى تحسين معرفة المرأة الحامل والممارسة المتعلقة بالرعاية التغذوية يعتمد على الخلفية العلمية ، وفي ضوء الاحتياجات المحددة من التقييم القبلي. وتم تطبيق البرنامج على أعضاء مجموعة الدراسة فقط . وقد تم تقييم المعرفة و الممارسات الغذائية للمرأة بعد الولادة في كل المجموعتين. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، تمت مقارنة نتائج الحمل. وقد أسفرت النتائج على أن كانت المعرفة الغذائية قبل التدخل منخفضة في المجموعتين، مع عدم وجود فروق ذات دلالة إحصائية بينهما بينما أظهرت النتائج الاختبار البعدي أن 95.5% من مجموعة الدراسة كن على معرفة مرضية مقارنة مع 18.8% في المجموعة الضابطة. كشفت النتائج عن تحسنات ذات دلالة إحصائية بعد التدخل في المعرفة والعادات الغذائية في مجموعة الدراسة ولكن ليس في المجموعة الضابطة

الكلمات الإسترشادية: أهمية التغذية السليمة أثناء الحمل تأثير التغذية السليمة على نتائج الحمل.